

ORDINANCE NO. 365

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF PORT ST. JOE FLORIDA, AMENDING THE CITY OF PORT ST. JOE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE BY ADDING SECTION 7.07 (B)(C), ESTABLISHING A METHOD WHEREBY IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT ON TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES CAN BE MITIGATED BY COOPERATIVE EFFORTS OF THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS, TO BE KNOWN AS THE PROPORTIONATE FAIR-SHARE PROGRAM; PROVIDING FINDINGS OF FACT; PROVIDING GENERAL REQUIREMENTS; PROVIDING FOR INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION; PROVIDING FOR AN APPLICATION PROCESS AND A FORMULA FOR DETERMINING PROPORTIONATE FAIR SHARE OBLIGATIONS; PROVIDING FOR PROPORTIONATE SHARE AGREEMENTS AND APPROPRIATION OF FAIR SHARE REVENUES; PROVIDING FOR CROSS JURISDICTIONAL IMPACTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

A. Purpose and Intent

The purpose of this ordinance is to establish a method whereby the impacts of development on transportation facilities can be mitigated by the cooperative efforts of the public and private sectors, to be known as the Proportionate Fair-Share Program, as required by and in a manner consistent with §163.3180(16), F.S.

B. Findings

- (1) The Board of City Commissioners of the City of Port St. Joe finds and determines that transportation capacity is a commodity that has a value to both the public and private sectors and the City Proportionate Fair-Share Program:
 - (a) Provides a method by which the impacts of development on transportation facilities can be mitigated by the cooperative efforts of the public and private sectors;
 - (b) Allows developers to proceed under certain conditions, notwithstanding the failure of transportation concurrency, by contributing their proportionate fair- share of the cost of a transportation facility;
 - (c) Contributes to the provision of adequate public facilities for future growth and promotes a strong commitment to comprehensive facilities planning, thereby reducing the potential for moratoria or unacceptable levels of traffic congestion;
 - (d) Maximizes the use of public funds for adequate transportation facilities to serve future growth, and may, in certain circumstances, allow the City to expedite

transportation improvements by supplementing funds currently allocated for transportation improvements in the Capital Improvements Element (CIE).

C. Applicability

The Proportionate Fair-Share Program shall apply to all developments in the City of Port St. Joe that have been notified of a lack of capacity to satisfy transportation concurrency requirements. The Proportionate Fair-Share Program does not apply to developments of regional impact (DRIs) using proportionate fair-share under §163.3180(12), F.S., or to developments exempted from concurrency as provided in concurrency element of the Comprehensive Plan of the City of Port St. Joe, as amended.

D. General Requirements

- (1) An applicant may choose to satisfy the transportation concurrency requirements of the City by making a proportionate fair-share contribution, pursuant to the following requirements:
 - (a) The proposed development is consistent with the comprehensive plan and applicable land development regulations. (b) The five-year schedule of capital improvements in the City CIE includes a transportation improvement(s) that, upon completion, will satisfy the requirements of the City transportation Concurrency Management System (CMS). The provisions of Section D (2) may apply if a project or projects needed to satisfy concurrency are not presently contained within the City CIE.
- (2) The City may choose to allow an applicant to satisfy transportation concurrency through the Proportionate Fair-Share Program by contributing to an improvement that, upon completion, will satisfy the requirements of the City transportation CMS, but is not contained in the five-year schedule of capital improvements in the CIE, where the following apply:
 - (a) The City adopts, by ordinance, a commitment to add the improvement to the five-year schedule of capital improvements in the CIE no later than the next regularly scheduled update. To qualify for consideration under this section, the proposed improvement must be reviewed by the Board of City Commissioners, and determined to be financially feasible pursuant to §163.3180(16) (b) 1, F.S., consistent with the comprehensive plan, and in compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Financial feasibility for this section means that additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities.
 - (b) If the funds allocated for the five-year schedule of capital improvements in the City CIE are insufficient to fully fund construction of a transportation improvement

required by the CMS, the City may still enter into a binding proportionate fair-share agreement with the applicant authorizing construction of that amount of development on which the proportionate fair-share is calculated if the proportionate fair-share amount in such agreement is sufficient to pay for one or more improvements which will, in the opinion of the governmental entity or entities maintaining the transportation facilities, significantly benefit the impacted transportation system.

The improvement or improvements funded by the proportionate fair-share component must be adopted into the five-year capital improvements schedule of the comprehensive plan at the next annual capital improvements element update

- (3) Any improvement project proposed to meet the developer's fair-share obligation must meet design standards of the City for locally maintained roadways and those of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) for the state highway system.

E. Intergovernmental Coordination

Pursuant to policies in the Intergovernmental Coordination Element of the City comprehensive plan, the City shall coordinate with affected jurisdictions, including FDOT, regarding mitigation to impacted facilities not under the jurisdiction of the local government receiving the application for proportionate fair-share mitigation. An Interlocal agreement may be established with other affected jurisdictions for this purpose.

F. Application Process

- (1) Upon notification of a lack of capacity to satisfy transportation concurrency, the applicant shall also be notified in writing of the opportunity to satisfy transportation concurrency through the Proportionate Fair-Share Program.
- (2) Prior to submitting an application for a proportionate fair-share agreement, a pre-application meeting shall be held to discuss eligibility, application submittal requirements, potential mitigation options, and related issues. If the impacted facility is on the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS), then the FDOT will be notified and invited to participate in the pre-application meeting.
- (3) Eligible applicants shall submit an application to the City that includes an application fee of \$500.00 and the following:
 - (a) Name, address and phone number of owner(s), developer and agent;
 - (b) Property location, including parcel identification numbers;
 - (c) Legal description and survey of property;
 - (d) Project description, including type, intensity and amount of development;
 - (e) Phasing schedule, if applicable;

- (f) Description of requested proportionate fair-share mitigation method(s); and
 - (g) Copy of concurrency application.
 - (h) Copy of traffic impact analysis; and
 - (i) Location map depicting the site and impacted roadway network,
- (4) The City Manager shall review the application and certify that the application is sufficient and complete within 10 business days. If an application is determined to be insufficient, incomplete or inconsistent with the general requirements of the Proportionate Fair-Share Program as indicated in Section D, then the applicant will be notified in writing of the reasons for such deficiencies within 15 business days of submittal of the application. If such deficiencies are not remedied by the applicant within 30 days of receipt of the written notification, then the application will be deemed abandoned. The Board of City Commissioners may, in its discretion, grant an extension of time not to exceed 60 days to cure such deficiencies, provided that the applicant has shown good cause for the extension and has taken reasonable steps to effect a cure.
- (5) Pursuant to §163.3180(16) (e), F.S., proposed proportionate fair-share mitigation for development impacts to facilities on the SIS requires the concurrency of the FDOT. The applicant shall submit evidence of an agreement between the applicant and the FDOT for inclusion in the proportionate fair-share agreement.
- (6) When an application is deemed sufficient, complete, and eligible, the applicant shall be advised in writing and a proposed proportionate fair-share obligation and binding agreement will be prepared by the City or the applicant with direction from the City and delivered to the appropriate parties for review, including a copy to the FDOT for any proposed proportionate fair-share mitigation on a SIS facility, no later than 60 days from the date at which the applicant received the notification of a sufficient application and no fewer than 14 days prior to the Board of City Commissioners meeting when the agreement will be considered.
- (7) The City shall notify the applicant regarding the date of the Board of City Commissioners meeting when the agreement will be considered for final approval. No proportionate fair-share agreement will be effective until approved by the Board of City Commissioners, or pursuant to staff approval for agreements below *(\$50,000.00, \$100,000.00, or \$150,000.00)*.

G. Determining Proportionate Fair-Share Obligation

- (1) Proportionate fair-share mitigation for concurrency impacts may include, without limitation, separately or collectively, private funds, contributions of land, and construction and contribution of facilities.

- (2) A development shall not be required to pay more than its proportionate fair-share. The fair market value of the proportionate fair-share mitigation for the impacted facilities shall not differ regardless of the method of mitigation.
- (3) The methodology used to calculate an applicant's proportionate fair-share obligation shall be as follows:

"The cumulative number of trips from the proposed development expected to reach roadways during peak hours from the complete build out of a stage or phase being approved, divided by the change in the peak hour maximum service volume (MSV) of roadways resulting from construction of an improvement necessary to maintain the adopted LOS, multiplied by the construction cost, at the time of developer payment, of the improvement necessary to maintain the adopted LOS."

OR

$$\text{Proportionate Fair-Share} = \left[\frac{\text{Development Trips}_{i}}{\text{SV Increase}_{i}} \right] \times \text{Cost}_{i}$$

Where:

Development Trips_i = Those trips from the stage or phase of development under review that are assigned to roadway segment "i" and have triggered a deficiency per the CMS;

SV Increase_i = Service volume increase provided by the eligible improvement to roadway segment "i" per section E;

Cost_i = Adjusted cost of the improvement to segment "i". Cost shall include all improvements and associated costs, such as design, right-of-way acquisition, planning, engineering, inspection, and physical development costs directly associated with construction at the anticipated cost in the year it will be incurred.

- (4) For the purposes of determining proportionate fair-share obligations, the City shall determine improvement costs based upon the actual cost of the improvement as obtained from the CIE or the FDOT Work Program. Where such information is not available, improvement cost shall be determined using one of the following methods:

- (a) An analysis by the City of costs by cross section type that incorporates data from recent projects and is updated annually and approved by the Board of City Commissioners or

(b) The most recent issue of FDOT *Transportation Costs*, as adjusted based upon the type of cross-section (urban or rural); locally available data from recent projects on acquisition, drainage and utility costs; and significant changes in the cost of materials due to unforeseeable events. Cost estimates for state road improvements not included in the adopted FDOT Work Program shall be determined using this method in coordination with the FDOT District.

(5) In order to accommodate increases in construction material costs, project costs, as determined by the Florida DOT or local agency construction cost estimates, shall be adjusted based on the following formula:

$$\text{Cost}^n = \text{Cost}^0 \times (\text{Cost_growth3yr})^n$$

Where:

Costⁿ = the cost of improvement in year "n"

Cost⁰ = the cost of the improvement in the current year

Cost_growth3yr = the growth rate of costs over the last 3 years

N = the number of years until the improvement is constructed

The three-year growth rate is determined by the following formula:

$$\text{Cost_growth3yr} = \sqrt[3]{\text{Cost_growth-1} + \text{Cost_growth-2} + \text{Cost_growth-3}}$$

Where:

Cost_growth3yr = the growth rate of costs over the last 3 years

Cost_growth-1 = the growth rate of costs in the previous year

Cost_growth-2 = the growth rate of cost two years prior

Cost_growth-3 = the growth rate of costs three years prior

(6) If the City has accepted an improvement project proposed by the applicant, then the value of the improvement shall be determined using one of the methods provided in this section.

(7) If the City has accepted right-of-way dedication for the proportionate fair-share payment, credit for the dedication of the non-site related right-of-way shall be valued on the date of the dedication at 115 percent of the most recent assessed value by the Gulf County property appraiser or, at the option of the applicant, by fair market value

established by an independent appraisal approved by the City and at no expense to the City. The applicant shall supply a drawing and legal description of the land and a certificate of title or title search of the land to the City at no expense to the City. If the estimated value of the right-of-way dedication proposed by the applicant is less than the City estimated total proportionate fair-share obligation for that development, then the applicant must also pay the difference. Prior to purchase or acquisition of any real estate or acceptance of donations of real estate intended to be used for the proportionate fair-share, public or private partners should contact the FDOT for essential information about compliance with federal law and regulations.

H. Proportionate Fair-Share Agreements

- (1) Upon execution of a proportionate fair-share agreement (Agreement) the applicant shall receive a City certificate of concurrency approval. Should the applicant fail to apply for a development permit within [12 months or timeframe provided in the local CMS of the execution of the Agreement], then the Agreement shall be considered null and void, and the applicant shall be required to reapply.
- (2) Payment of the proportionate fair-share contribution is due in full prior to issuance of the final development order or recording of the final plat and shall be non-refundable. If the payment is submitted more than 12 months from the date of execution of the Agreement, then the proportionate fair-share cost shall be recalculated at the time of payment based on the best estimate of the construction cost of the required improvement at the time of payment, pursuant to Section H and adjusted accordingly.
- (3) All developer improvements authorized under this ordinance must be completed prior to issuance of a development permit, or as otherwise established in a binding agreement that is accompanied by a security instrument that is sufficient to ensure the completion of all required improvements. It is the intent of this section that any required improvements be completed before issuance of building permits or certificates of occupancy.
- (4) Dedication of necessary right-of-way for facility improvements pursuant to a proportionate fair-share agreement must be completed prior to issuance of the final development order or recording of the final plat.
- (5) Any requested change to a development project subsequent to a development order may be subject to additional proportionate fair-share contributions to the extent the change would generate additional traffic that would require mitigation.
- (6) Applicants may submit a letter to withdraw from the proportionate fair-share agreement at any time prior to the execution of the agreement. The application fee and any associated advertising costs to the City will be non refundable.

I. Appropriation of Fair-Share Revenues

(1) Proportionate fair-share revenues shall be placed in the appropriate project account for funding of scheduled improvements in the City CIE, or as otherwise established in the terms of the proportionate fair-share agreement. At the discretion of the local government, proportionate fair-share revenues may be used for operational improvements prior to construction of the capacity project from which the proportionate fair-share revenues were derived. Proportionate fair-share revenues may also be used as the 50% local match for funding under the FDOT Transportation Regional Incentive program (TRIP).

(2) In the event a scheduled facility improvement is removed from the CIE, then the revenues collected for its construction may be applied toward the construction of another improvement within that same corridor or sector that would mitigate the impacts of development pursuant to the requirements of Section D(2)(b).

Where an impacted regional facility has been designated as a regionally significant transportation facility in an adopted regional transportation plan as provided in Section 339.155, F.S., and then the City may coordinate with other impacted jurisdictions and agencies to apply proportionate fair-share contributions and public contributions to seek funding for improving the impacted regional facility under the FDOT TRIP. Such coordination shall be ratified by the City through an Interlocal agreement that establishes a procedure for earmarking of the developer contributions for this purpose.

J. Cross Jurisdictional Impacts

(1) In the interest of intergovernmental coordination and to reflect the shared responsibilities for managing development and concurrency, the City may enter into an agreement with one or more adjacent local governments to address cross-jurisdictional impacts of development on regional transportation facilities. The agreement shall provide for application of the methodology in this section to address the cross-jurisdictional transportation impacts of development.

(2) A development application submitted to the City subject to a transportation concurrency determination meeting all of the following criteria shall be subject to this section:

(a) All or part of the proposed development is located within one mile of the area which is under the jurisdiction, for transportation concurrency, of an adjacent local government; and

(b) Using its own concurrency analysis procedures, the City concludes that the additional traffic from the proposed development would use five percent or more of the adopted peak hour LOS maximum service volume of a regional

transportation facility within the concurrency jurisdiction of the adjacent local government (“impacted regional facility”); and

- (c) The impacted regional facility is projected to be operating below the level of service standard, adopted by the adjacent local government, when the traffic from the proposed development is included.
- (3) Upon identification of an impacted regional facility pursuant to subsection 2(a)-(c), the City shall notify the applicant and the affected adjacent local government in writing of the opportunity to derive an additional proportionate fair-share contribution, based on the projected impacts of the proposed development on the impacted adjacent facility.
- (a) The adjacent local government shall have up to ninety (90) days in which to notify the City of a proposed specific proportionate fair-share obligation, and the intended use of the funds when received. The adjacent local government must provide reasonable justification that both the amount of the payment and its intended use comply with the requirements of Section 163.3180(16), F.S. Should the adjacent local government decline proportionate fair-share mitigation under this section, then the provisions of this section would not apply and the applicant would be subject only to the proportionate fair share requirements of the City.
 - (b) If the subject application is subsequently approved by the City, the approval shall include a condition that the applicant provides, prior to the issuance of any building permit covered by that application, evidence that the proportionate fair-share obligation to the adjacent local government has been satisfied. The City may require the adjacent local government to declare, in a resolution, ordinance, or equivalent document, its intent for the use of the concurrency funds to be paid by the applicant.

K. **SEVERABILITY**: If any portion of this Ordinance is declared by a Court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or unenforceable such declaration shall not be deemed to affect the remaining portions of this Ordinance.

L. EFFECTIVE DATE: This Ordinance shall be effective 30 days after adoption.

PASSED FIRST READING ON: November 7, 2006

PASSED SECOND READING ON: November 28, 2006

CITY OF PORT ST. JOE

BY: 

ATTEST:

